Teaching Innovation Grant (TIG) 2024-2025 Rubric

Proposal Title:

PI:_____Evaluator:_____

Rubric Component	Details	Score (1-5) Quality not quantity	Weight	Score
Philosophical/Pedagogical Backdrop	Detailed description of the philosophical backdrop for the innovation in your field. Some examples of evidence- based practices include, but are not limited to: Capstone Courses and Projects Collaborative Assignments and Projects Common Intellectual Experiences ePortfolios First-Year Experiences Inclusive/Equitable Learning Internships Learning Communities Service Learning, Community-Based Learning Specifications-based grading Undergraduate Research Writing-Intensive Courses	 Little to no detailed description of backdrop Provides a brief philosophy but lacking the innovation designed in the field Clearly describes the philosophy but lacking the innovation designed in the field Clearly describes the philosophical backdrop and innovation, justified by at least one evidence-based practice Clearly describes the philosophical backdrop and innovation, combining at least two evidence-based practices 	X 4	
Inclusive, Student-centered Implementation Plan	Clear description of student-centered approaches through which the innovation will take place. Examples might include but are not limited to: • I Do, We Do, You Do • Think, Pair, Share • Fishbowl Discussion • Deliberative Dialogue • Clicker Assessment • Use of Physical Materials • Use of Software Tools • Gamification • Case studies	 Little to no description of student-centered activities to be included Detailed description of methods with no description of benefits to students Detailed description of methods with little description of benefits to students Detailed description of methods with moderate description of benefits to students Detailed description of benefits to students 	X 4	

Assessment Plan	Detailed assessment plan to measure the outcomes of teaching on student learning. Description of expected change in student experience, including students impacted by number, course and majors.	 Assessment is not aligned with stated goals or no student impact Assessment is aligned with stated goals and short-term student impact Assessment is aligned with stated goals and small, sustained student impact Assessment is aligned with stated goals and moderate, sustained student impact Assessment is aligned with stated goals and moderate, sustained student impact Assessment is aligned with stated goals and moderate, sustained student impact 	X 4	
Experience Sharing Plan	 Clear description of experience sharing plan. Examples might include, but are not limited to the following: Conference presentation Hosting a public campus event/workshop Opening classroom in Engaging Classrooms Week ORSP annual symposium presentation PACE Teaching and Learning Conference presentation SHSU's Digital Education Summit presentation Written scholarship 	 No plan for sharing An unclear or unspecific plan for sharing A satisfactory plan for sharing A solid plan for sharing with some detail Clear description of sharing plan 	X 2	
Faculty Meeting Plan	Outline of team meeting schedule with meeting goals to ensure implementation, assessment and dissemination aims are met.	 Little to no description of meeting schedule A clearly defined schedule but no goals A clearly defined schedule without clearly defined goals A clearly defined schedule with clearly defined goals that are not assessable A clearly defined schedule with clearly defined assessable goals 	X 1	
Budget Justification	Completed budget form, with stipends included. Budget justifications must include impact on students.	 No justification for budget items' impact on students There is justification but not relevant Minimal justification for budget items Proficient justification Exemplary justification of all budget items 	X 1	
			Total	